



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,558	10/16/2003	Hideaki Funakoshi	04995/121001	1170

22511 7590 09/03/2010
OSHA LIANG L.L.P.
TWO HOUSTON CENTER
909 FANNIN, SUITE 3500
HOUSTON, TX 77010

EXAMINER

ATALA, JAMIE JO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2621

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

09/03/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@oshaliang.com
buta@oshaliang.com

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed July 30, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). This is shown on page 7 of applicant's arguments which states Takahashi fails to disclose, suggest, or teach "n-fold speed" as recited in Claim 1. It is noted that Takahashi is merely used to teach the ability of compressed video and audio data to be reproduced at high speeds. The process used may use intra-frame encoded technique but the examiner is not relying this; however, is using Takahashi to teach the ability to have n-fold speed reproductions of an MPEG encoded video. Furthermore, as described in Takahashi teaches a system wherein trick play is discussed as described in Column 2 Lines 31-67. Although, all of applicants points are understood the examiner can not agree and the rejection is maintained.